REMARKS

The applicant respectfully requests reconsideration in view of the amendment and the following remarks. Applicant has amended incorporated claim 7 into claim 1. In addition support amended claim 1 can be found in the specification at page 4, lines 24-26. The applicant has rewritten claim 12 into better form. The applicant has cancelled claim 2.

Claims 5 and 16 are rejected as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention. Claims 1, 2, and 6-10 are rejected as being anticipated under 35 USC § 102 (b) by Doeuvre (Bull. De la Soc. Chim. De France, 1929) ("Doeuvre"). Claims 3, 4, 11, 14, 15, and 17-19 are rejected as being unpatentable over Doeuvre further in view of Brocker (US 6,162,758) ("Brocker"). Claim 12 is rejected as being unpatentable over Doeuvre and Brocker et al as applied to claims 3, 4, 11, 14, 15, and 17-19, and further in view of Woroch et al. (US 2,990,422) ("Woroch"). The applicant respectfully traverses these rejections.

112 Rejection

Claims 5 and 16 are rejected as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention. "calcite" is the thermodynamically more stable crystal structure of calcium carbonate which is well known to those of skill in the art as can be seen from standard general references (see , e.g. Kirk-Othmer Encyclopedia of Chemical Technology, Third Edition (1978), 427-428 a copy of which is enclosed). The applicant believes that this term is understood by one of ordinary skill in the art. For the above reasons, this rejection should be withdrawn.

102 Rejection

Claims 1, 2, and 6-10 are rejected as being anticipated under 35 USC § 102 (b) by Doeuvre. The amended claim 1 is not anticipated by Doeuvre, because Doeuvre only discloses reduced copper as a catalyst and does not disclose the catalysts of the process according to amended claim 1. For the above reasons, this rejection should be withdrawn.

588545 4

103 Rejection

Claims 3, 4, 11, 14, 15, and 17-19 are rejected as being unpatentable over Doeuvre further in view of Brocker. Claim 12 is rejected as being unpatentable over Doeuvre and Brocker as applied to claims 3, 4, 11, 14, 15, and 17-19, and further in view of Woroch.

As the Examiner has correctly acknowledged, Doeuvre does not disclose nor teach the applicant's claimed catalyst. The Examiner has relied upon the teaching of Brocker.

The applicant points out that the applicant has incorporated claim 7 into claim 1, and for this reason alone, since claim 7 was not rejected over this combination of references, this rejection should be withdrawn.

Furtermore, amended claim 1 is not obvious over Doeuvre in view of Brocker to a person of ordinary skill in the art. Doeuvre teaches a process for the catalytic dehydrogenation of d-citronellol to d-citronellal under reduced pressure in the presence of reduced (and therefore metallic and neutral) copper as a catalyst (see page 1, lines 4 and 5). Low selectivity and disintegration or polymerization of the reactants along with formation of unwanted side products is a common problem of reduced metals as catalysts in that type of reaction (as described for reduced silver as a catalyst on page 1, lines 7 to 12 of Doeuvre) known to those of skilled in the art. Furthermore reduced metal catalysts are expensive and therefore disadvantageous for the use in commercial scale.

A person of ordinary skill in the art would not have considered the catalysts disclosed by Brocker as suitable catalysts for the dehydrogenation of aldehydes or ketones with a racemizable stereocenter in the α or β position of the carbonyl group. Those stereocenters are sensitive to racemization in the presence of acids and bases by enolization of the α , β -unsaturated carbonyl group.

Brocker's PCT counterpart is disclosed in the applicant's specification at page 1, lines 25-27. Brocker, however, discloses specific catalysts comprising zinc oxide and calcium carbonate and a method for the dehydrogenation of secondary cyclic alcohols, especially cyclohexanol (See the abstract and the examples) and not aldehydes or ketones with a racemizable stereocenter in the α or β position of the carbonyl group. The catalyst comprises zinc oxide and calcium carbonate both being bases which would have to be considered as being able to deprotonate and therefore racemize optically active substrates with a stereocenter in the α and/or β position of a carbonyl group. It was surprising that catalyst comprising the basic catalysts known from Brocker as being suitable catalysts for the dehydrogenation of cyclic

5

588545

Application No. 10/591,925 Docket No. 12810-00342-US

Amendment dated January 25, 2008

Reply to Office Action of November 2, 2007

achiral alcohols (i.e. molecules without a stereocenter) are also suitable for the gas-phase

hydrogenation of chiral aldehydes or ketones with racemizable stereocenters in the α and/or β

position of the carbonyl group.

Claim 12 as amended comprises the process for the preparation of optically active

citronellal according to claim 1 followed by cyclization to form isopulegol and subsequent

hydrogenation. Woroch does not cure the deficiencies of the Doeuvre and Brocker. For the

above reasons, these rejections should be withdrawn.

In view of the above amendment, applicant believes the pending application is in

condition for allowance.

Applicant believes no fee is due with this response. However, if a fee is due, please

charge our Deposit Account No. 03-2775, under Order No. 12810-00342-US from which the

undersigned is authorized to draw.

Dated: February 3, 2008

Respectfully submitted,

Electronic signature: /Ashley I. Pezzner/

Ashley I, Pezzner

Registration No.: 35,646

CONNOLLY BOVE LODGE & HUTZ LLP

1007 North Orange Street

P. O. Box 2207

Wilmington, Delaware 19899-2207

(302) 658-9141

(302) 658-5614 (Fax)

Attorney for Applicant

Enclosure: Kirk-Othmer' Encyclopedia of Chemical Technology, Third EditIon (1978), 427-428

6

588545

Aragonite is in the orthorhombic system. The usual crystal habits are acicular or elongated prismatic. In the commercial forms of precipitated calcium carbonate where aragonite predominates, crystals have parallel sides and large length-to-width

S.004

ratios. Rapid precipitation, high concentration of reactants, high temperatures, and the presence of divalent cations increase the tendency to produce aragonite (2).

Most commercial grades of precipitated calcium carbonate have a dry brightness in excess of 98% and have a minimum purity of 98%, the major contaminants being magnesium carbonate and silica. Products are available with average particle sizes ranging from submicrometer (ca 0.03 μ m) to coarse (ca 5 μ m).

The essential properties of the two crystal polymorphs are shown below.

Property refractive index	Calcite	Aragonite
α		1.580
β		1.681
γ		1.685
€	1.4864	
ω	1.6583	
density, kg/m³	2710	2930
bp (dec), °C solubility, g/100 cm ³ H ₂ (898	825
at 25°C	0.0014	0.00153
at 75°C	0.0018	0.00190

Manufacturing and Processing

Precipitated calcium carbonate can be produced by several methods but only the carbonation process is commercially used in the United States today. This is the simplest and most direct process, using the most readily available and lowest cost raw materials.

Limestone is calcined in a kiln to obtain carbon dioxide and quicklime. Generally, these products are purified separately before recombining. The quicklime is mixed with water to produce either a milk-of-lime or dry hydrated lime; both are essentially all calcium hydroxide. When dry hydrate is used in the process, water is added to produce a milk-of-lime slurry.

In the carbonation process, the cooled and purified carbon dioxide-bearing kiln gas is bubbled through the milk-of-lime in a reactor known as a carbonator. Gasing continues until all the calcium hydroxide has been converted to the carbonate. The end point can be monitored by pH or by chemical measurements.

The reactions involved in this production method are:

calcination, $CaCO_3 \rightarrow CaO + CO_2$ hydration or slaking, $CaO + H_2O \rightarrow Ca(OH)_2$ carbonation, $Ca(OH)_2 + CO_2 \rightarrow CaCO_3 + H_2O$

Reaction conditions determine the type of crystal, the size of particles, and the size distribution produced. The process variables include starting temperature, temperature during carbonation, rate of mixing, pH, concentration of reactants, and the presence or absence of chemical additives.